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## $\operatorname{Bis}\left(\mu_{3}\right.$-4-bromophenylimido)tris(tricarbonylruthenium)(2 Ru-Ru)

The title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Br}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\right]$, is a V -shaped triruthenium cluster, each side of the V being face-capped by a 4-bromophenylimide ligand. Each Ru atom is also ligated by three terminal carbonyl ligands. There are two molecules in the asymmetric unit with minor conformational differences.

## Comment

Azobenzene undergoes $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ bond cleavage upon reaction with $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}\right]$ (Bruce et al., 1986), providing access to cluster-stabilized phenylimide ligands. Carbonyl ligands on the bis(phenylimido)triruthenium cluster product can be displaced by mono- or bidentate phosphines (Bruce et al., 1987). We have now examined the reaction of $4,4^{\prime}$-dibromoazobenzene with $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}\right]$, and have structurally characterized the product, (I).

(I)

The asymmetric unit of (I) consists of two molecules of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Br}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\right]$ which are chemically identical but have minor conformational differences. In both molecules, one $\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{Ru}$ bond of the triangular precursor has been cleaved, and the resultant V-shaped triruthenium cluster core is face-capped on each side by a 4-bromophenylimide ligand resulting from $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ cleavage of the substituted azobenzene precursor. Three carbonyl ligands at each Ru atom complete the coordination (Table 1, Fig. 1). Comparison of the bond distances and angles in (I) with those of the phenylimidocontaining analogue $\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mu_{3}-\mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\right]$ (Bruce et al., 1986) reveals that core distances and angles are essentially the same.
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Figure 1
A view of one molecule of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level (arbitrary spheres for the H atoms). The second molecule (not shown) is almost identical.

## Experimental

[ $\left.\mathrm{Ru}_{3}(\mathrm{CO})_{12}\right](200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $4,4^{\prime}-$ dibromoazobenzene ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $n$-octane ( 30 ml ), and the mixture was heated at reflux for 4 h . The resulting brown solution was evaporated to dryness on a rotary evaporator, and the residue dissolved in a minimum of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\begin{array}{cl}c a \mathrm{ml})\end{array}\right)$ and applied to preparative thin-layer chromatography plates. Elution with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-$ petroleum ether (1:9) gave three bands and a heavy baseline. Band 1 was yellow ( $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.75$ ), band 2 was orange ( $R_{\mathrm{F}}=0.52$ ) and band 3 was yellow ( $R_{\mathrm{f}}=0.21$ ). The contents of band 2 were identified as (I) (yield $12.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}, 13 \%$ ). Analysis: MS (secondary ion, $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$): $895-$ $28 n\left([M-n \mathrm{CO}]^{+}, n=0-7\right) ;$ IR $\left(c-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}, v, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ : (CO) $2097(w)$, 2075 (vs), 2052 (vs), 2024 (s), 2018 (s), 2014 (s), 1991 (m), 1981 (w). Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction study were obtained by liquid diffusion of methanol into a dichloromethane solution.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Ru}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BrN}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}\right]$
$M_{r}=895.32$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
$a=13.0136(2) \AA$
$b=14.6979(2) \AA$
$c=15.8864(2) \AA$
$\alpha=100.9819(9)^{\circ}$
$\beta=112.3150(8)^{\circ}$
$\gamma=98.9674(8)^{\circ}$
$V=2671.05(7) \AA^{3}$

$$
Z=4
$$

$$
D_{x}=2.226 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}
$$

Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 36343 reflections
$\theta=3-27^{\circ}$
$\mu=4.72 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=200 \mathrm{~K}$
Plate, red
$0.40 \times 0.34 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: integration via Gaussian method (Coppens, 1970) implemented in MAXUS (Mackay et al., 2000)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.284, T_{\text {max }}=0.624$

58882 measured reflections
12233 independent reflections
8846 reflections with $I>3 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.05$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.5^{\circ}$
$h=-16 \rightarrow 16$
$k=-19 \rightarrow 19$
$l=-20 \rightarrow 20$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.025$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.030$
$S=1.07$
8846 reflections
668 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained
Weighting scheme: Chebychev polynomial (Watkin, 1994; Prince, 1982);

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
& w= 1 /\left[1.71 T_{0}(x)+0.166 T_{1}(x)\right. \\
&\left.+1.45 T_{n-1}(x)\right], \\
& \text { where } x=F / F_{\max } ; \\
& W= w\left[1-(\delta F / 6 \sigma F)^{2}\right]^{2} \\
&(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.002 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=1.03 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-1.01 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \text { Extinction correction: Larson } \\
& \quad(1970), \text { eq. } 22
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { Extinction coefficient: } 100(8)
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left({ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| Ru11..Ru12 | 3.2923 (3) | Ru21*Ru22 | 3.2879 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ru11-Ru13 | 2.6557 (3) | Ru21-Ru23 | 2.6414 (3) |
| Ru12-Ru13 | 2.6758 (3) | Ru22-Ru23 | 2.7064 (3) |
| Ru11-N11 | 2.069 (3) | Ru21-N21 | 2.088 (2) |
| Ru11-N12 | 2.069 (3) | Ru21-N22 | 2.085 (2) |
| Ru12-N11 | 2.061 (3) | Ru22-N21 | 2.058 (3) |
| Ru12-N12 | 2.072 (3) | Ru22-N22 | 2.065 (2) |
| Ru13-N11 | 2.156 (2) | Ru23-N21 | 2.109 (2) |
| Ru13-N12 | 2.113 (3) | Ru23-N22 | 2.129 (2) |
| Ru12 $\cdots$ Ru11-Ru13 | 52.142 (8) | Ru22 $\cdots$ Ru21-Ru23 | 52.961 (8) |
| Ru11 - Ru12-Ru13 | 51.590 (8) | Ru21 - Ru22-Ru23 | 51.173 (8) |
| Ru12-Ru13-Ru11 | 76.268 (10) | Ru22-Ru23-Ru21 | 75.866 (9) |

H atoms were placed in idealized positions and refined as riding on their parent C atoms, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=1.00 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$. The largest peaks in the final difference electron-density map are located near Br and Ru atoms.

Data collection: COLLECT (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: DENZO and SCALEPACK (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997); data reduction: $D E N Z O$ and $S C A L E P A C K$; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: CRYSTALS (Betteridge et al., 2003); molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson 1976) in TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: CRYSTALS.
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